



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,381	08/20/2004	On Bon Peter Chan	MTUZ 2 00016	3788
7590 James W McKee Fay Sharpe Fagan Minnich & McKee 1100 Superior Avenue 7th Floor Cleveland, OH 44114-2518	08/28/2007		EXAMINER FIDLER, SHELBY LEE	
			ART UNIT 2861	PAPER NUMBER PAPER
			MAIL DATE 08/28/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/505,381	CHAN, ON BON PETER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Shelby Fidler	2861	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shelby Fidler.

(3) Mike Kazinski.

(2) Julian Huffman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 13-14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cook (US 6155664).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MATTHEW LUU  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

*Shelby Z. Fidler 8/16/2007*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*J.D.H.*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested clarification of the outstanding rejection, specifically how Cook discloses the invention of claims 13 and 14. Applicant indicated the possible filing of a Request for Continued Examination attempting to amend the claims to overcome the Cook reference. Examiner agreed that, upon filing of an RCE, if the amendments do not overcome the Cook reference, Examiner will contact Applicant. *JD*